



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,332	06/20/2003	Ubaldo Mastromatteo	2110-46-3	6985
7590 12/30/2005			EXAMINER	
GRAYBEAL JACKSON HALEY LLP			HEINZ, ALLEN J	
Suite 350 155-108th Avenue N.E. Bellevue, WA 98004-5973			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2653	
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/601,332	MASTROMATTEO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	A. J. HEINZ	2653		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror the, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☑ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ Th 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ois action is non-final. Fance except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-16 and 23-39 is. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,17-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	are withdrawn from consideration			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a constant and a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the constant of	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
·	,			
	•			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: <u>ADDENDU</u>	oate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/601,332

Art Unit: 2653

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The Title should provide a more detailed structural identification of the feature or features which distinguish the invention from the prior art.

The intended results produced by the structural differences can also be part of the content of the Title but should be made subordinate to the structural differences.

2. Claims 4&5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the instant claims, what "ends" or "respective end" are the claims referring to...an 'arch' does not necessarily have an end or ends.

An exhaustive search of indefinite and/or ambiguous language has not been attempted, but only exemplified in the preceding paragraphs. Therefore the applicant is responsible for a thorough review of all the claims to make corrections as appropriate.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3,6,7,9,17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Brosnihan.

See Figures 1,3&4. Note, to the extent claimed and understood, the structure as shown in Addendum A reads on and performs to the same degree as claimed.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. \$103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/601,332

Art Unit: 2653

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8,20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Brosnihan or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over Brosnihan.

Brosnihan discloses, such as in col.3, line 37, that his disclosed micro-electro mechanical device is exemplarily provided for use as an microactuator and therefore would include all the auxiliary elements directed to a disk drive structure.

It therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Brosnihan micro-electro mechanical device in a disk drive.

Rationale: the use of a subcombination invention in other combinations is simply an alternative purpose and therefore are readily substitutable equivalents.

Re Claims 20; as indicated by applicant in his remarks, the different geometrical configurations amount to alternative configurations which do not need to be illustrated; therefore

Art Unit: 2653

these alternative configurations are held to be obvious modifications to the basic concept which has been disclosed by Brosnihan.

- 7. Claims 4&5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. §112 set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Novotny and Sakai show other configurations of microelectro mechanical devices.

9. For a complete response applicant should identify how the claimed structure of his invention defines over **all** the art of record.

Moreover, where the applicant disagrees with the reasoning and/or application of the prior art on critical points of the claims, they should identify how the claimed structure of their invention defines over **all** the art of record not just the applied art.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,332 Art Unit: 2653

Where applicant believes that the art is redundant and/or superfluous relative to the critical aspects of the claimed invention the applicant may simply state so in rebuttal summary.

- 10. If applicant has filed an information disclosure statement and has not received an office action that contain an initialed-off copy (or copies) of all such filed IDS's (or at least a comment to the disposition of such IDS'S in the body of the office action itself) applicant should apprise the examiner of such missing documentation [to the IDS's] in response to this office action so that the examiner can take appropriate action to supply same to the applicant.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Note, see paragraph 7 of the first office action.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action

Application/Control Number: 10/601,332

Art Unit: 2653

is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. J. HEINZ whose telephone number is (571) 272-7587. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM KORZUCH can be reached on (571)272-7589.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. J. HEINZ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2653

